1	D.LAW, INC. Emil Davtyan (SBN 299363)		
2	emil@d.law		
3	David Yeremian (SBN 226337) d.yeremian@d.law		
4	Roman Shkodnik (SBN 285152) r.shkodnik@d.law		
5	Amanda Fazio (SBN 346350) a.fazio@d.law		
6	880 E. Broadway Glendale, CA 91205		
7	Telephone: 818.962.6465 Facsimile: 818.962.6469		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff DONTE PICOU		
9	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP Matthew C. Kane, SBN 171829	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP Sylvia J. Kim, SBN 258363	
10	mkane@bakerlaw.com Amy E. Beverlin, SBN 284745	sjkim@bakerlaw.com Transamerica Pyramid	
11	abeverlin@bakerlaw.com	600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3100	
12	Kerri H. Sakaue, SBN 301043 ksakaue@bakerlaw.com	San Francisco, CA 94111-2806 Telephone: 415.659.2600	
13	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-4508	Facsimile: 415.659.2601	
14	Telephone: 310.820.8800 Facsimile: 310.820.8859		
15			
16	Attorneys for Defendant TRACY LOGISTICS LLC		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19			
20	DONTE PICOU, on behalf of himself and	CASE NO. 2:24-cv-00526-MCE-JDP	
21	others similarly situated,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR	
22	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED	
23	v.	COMPLAINT TO FACILITATE MEET AND CONFER REGARDING MOTION TO	
24	TRACY LOGISTICS LLC, an entity of	DISMISS OR STRIKE SAME	
25	unknown form; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	<u>Filed Under Separate Cover:</u>	
26	Defendants.	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
27			
28			

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on January 17, 2024, Plaintiff Donte Picou filed a First Amended Class Action Complaint ("FAC") in San Joaquin County Superior Court against Defendant Tracy Logistics LLC ("Tracy") (hereinafter, the "State Court Action") [Dkt. #1];

WHEREAS, on February 20, 2024, Tracy timely removed the State Court Action to this Court [Dkt. #1];

WHEREAS, on March 12, 2024, Tracy filed its Motion to Dismiss and/or Strike Plaintiff's FAC pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) and/or 12(f) (the "**Motion**"), which was scheduled to be heard on April 18, 2024 [Dkt. #13];

WHEREAS, on March 19, 2024, Plaintiff and Tracy (collectively, the "Parties") stipulated to continue the hearing on the Motion by six weeks to May 30, 2024, in order to facilitate the Parties' conferral efforts to potentially resolve the Motion in whole or in part, and afford Plaintiff sufficient time to evaluate how to propose amending the FAC (the "Stipulation to Continue") [Dkt. #14];

WHEREAS, the Court (Nunley, J.) entered its Order approving the Stipulation on March 20, 2024 (the "**Order**") [Dkt. #15];

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Order, Plaintiff was to provide Tracy's counsel with a proposed Second Amended Complaint ("**Proposed SAC**") on or before March 26, 2024, in order to facilitate the Parties' conferral about potential resolution of the Motion [Dkt. #15];

WHEREAS, rather than providing Tracy's counsel with Plaintiff's Proposed SAC, Plaintiff instead filed a Second Amended Class Action Complaint ("SAC") on March 26, 2024 [Dkt. #16];

- 1 -

Case 2:24-cv-00526-MCE-JDP Document 24 Filed 04/09/24 Page 3 of 6

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES WHEREAS, Defendant's position is that Plaintiff did not have leave of court to file a SAC;

WHEREAS, the Parties would like an opportunity to confer about the sufficiency of the SAC vis-à-vis the grounds asserted in the Motion and/or as may be newly raised by the SAC;

WHEREAS, in light of the filing of the SAC, the Court (Nunley, J.) *sua sponte* denied the Motion as moot [Dkt. #18], irrespective of whether Plaintiff had leave to file the same;

WHEREAS, based on the foregoing and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(3), Tracy's deadline to respond to Plaintiff's SAC is April 9, 2024; and

WHEREAS, the Parties wish to afford themselves sufficient time to confer anew about Tracy's contemplated motion(s) to dismiss and/or strike Plaintiff's SAC pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) and/or 12(f) and the possibility of Plaintiff further amending the SAC to address certain of the factual and legal issues raised anew by Tracy concerning the sufficiency of that pleading;

WHEREAS, to facilitate such conferral, the Parties agree that Tracy's current April 9, 2024 deadline to respond to the SAC should be extended by 30 days to and including May 9, 2024, in order to potentially narrow the legal issues and/or pleading challenges that might ensue and whether Plaintiff may further amend the SAC and the Parties may stipulate to the filing of a Third Amended Complaint.

STIPULATION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff, on the one hand, and Tracy, on the other hand, by and through their respective undersigned counsel, and subject to the Court's approval, that:

Case 2:24-cv-00526-MCE-JDP Document 24 Filed 04/09/24 Page 4 of 6

1	1 1. Tracy's time within wh	1. Tracy's time within which to answer or otherwise serve and file any motions or other		
2	2 pleadings responsive to	Plaintiff's	SAC be extended by 30 days, to and including	
3	3 May 9, 2024; and			
4	4			
5	5 2. By entering into this St	2. By entering into this Stipulation, the Parties do not waive and expressly reserve all		
6	claims, defenses, and challenges in this action, including, without limitation, as to			
7	Plaintiff's SAC and/or the claims asserted therein.			
8	8			
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
10	10			
11	Dated: April 5, 2024	D.LAV	W, INC.	
12	12	_	/a/ Danier Chladrik (az anthanizad az 4/5/24)	
13	13	2).	/s/ Roman Shkodnik (as authorized on 4/5/24) Emil Davtyan	
14	14		David Yeremian Roman Shkodnik	
15	15		Amanda Fazio	
16	16	Attorne	eys for Plaintiff DONTE PICOU	
17	17			
18	18 Dated: April 5, 2024	BAKEI	R & HOSTETLER LLP	
19	19			
20	20			
21	21		/s/ Matthew C. Kane Matthew C. Kane	
22	22		Sylvia J. Kim Amy E. Beverlin	
23	23]	Kerri H. Sakaue	
24	24	Attorne	ys for Defendant TRACY LOGISTICS LLC	
25	25			
26				
27				
28				
-				

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DONTE PICOU, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

TRACY LOGISTICS LLC, an entity of unknown form; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive.

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:24-cv-00526-MCE-JDP

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT TO FACILITATE MEET AND CONFER REGARDING MOTION TO DISMISS OR STRIKE SAME

Case 2:24-cv-00526-MCE-JDP Document 24 Filed 04/09/24 Page 6 of 6

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

ORDER

Having reviewed and considered the parties' Stipulation to Extend Time for Defendant to Respond to Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint to Facilitate Meeting and Conferring Thereon (the "Stipulation"), and good cause appearing for granting the relief requested therein, the Stipulation shall be and hereby is GRANTED as follows, using the same terms as defined and used in the Stipulation:

- Tracy's time within which to answer or otherwise serve and file any motions or other
 pleadings responsive to Plaintiff's SAC shall be and hereby is extended by 30 days,
 to and including May 9, 2024; and
- 2. By entering into this Stipulation, the Parties do not waive and expressly reserve all claims, defenses, and challenges in this action, including, without limitation, as to Plaintiff's SAC and/or the claims asserted therein.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: APRIL 9, 2024

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR)
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE